Abstract

The agriculture’s sustainable development is a demand of the Community’s Agricultural Policy in Romania. The objective can’t be reached without competitive agricultural holdings, which work on the basis of high economic performance criteria. This is why agricultural holdings must take into account a series of strategic development directions, such as: the technical capital’s optimum dimensioning and management, perfecting the human capital, perfecting the rural structures, the exploitation’s optimum dimensioning, improving sales.
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The agriculture’s sustainable development is a concept integrated in the sustained economic growth and has a triple dimension: ecological, social and economic. The ecological and social aspects are demands formulated by the external environment for agricultural holdings, but their economic performance is tied to their reason to exist and to accomplish the entrepreneurs’ interests.

In Romania practicing intensive socialist agriculture led to a diverse polluting phenomenon of the environment. At the same time, the structural reforms adopted in the transition period led to organizational changes with dramatic consequences for the Romanian agriculture. The privatization process and especially restoring the ownership rights over lands led to the shrinking of the agricultural holdings’ dimensions below the limits needed for an efficient functioning. This aspect, together with the destruction of the existent technical base, the shortage of capital and other aspects, led to practicing subsistence agriculture and to a low competitiveness of the Romanian agriculture in comparison to the countries from the European Union.

The sustainable development of the Romanian agriculture in accordance to the Community’s Agricultural Policy is a chance and a complex process at the same time, which targets: practicing an ecological agriculture, organizational reforms, mentality changes, the proper training of the farmers, important investments in the sector, etc.

In order to have a sustainable activity the following demands must be met by the agricultural holding:

− to use ecological production technologies which will ensure the integrity of the natural environment and the consumers’ health protection;
− to adapt the activity to the soil and climate conditions of the area in order to achieve a high economic performance;
− to substantiate the produce offer to the demands of the market;
− to integrate itself in the natural environment by preserving the landscape’s biodiversity and beauty;
− to be profitable on long term, satisfying this way the interests of the agricultural entrepreneurs.

The mentioned demands can’t be carried out without the existence of a development strategy for the agricultural holdings, which will clearly mention the objectives and will efficiently manage the necessary resources for reaching the objectives.

Considering their limited character, the resources’ efficient management is one of the fundamental requirements for a sustainable agriculture.

The steps taken for scientifically substantiating an improvement strategy for agricultural holdings have as a starting point the correct and efficient dimensioning of the used production factors.

By ensuring the technical-production grounds for the agricultural holdings, dimensioning the technical capital in close relation with the level and characteristics of the operating processes, constitutes an indispensable condition...
for the activities’ efficient unfolding. The investments which are well substantiated technically and economically will ensure the conditions needed for the growth of the labor productivity, for the production’s quality, for preserving and protecting the environment.

The net investments in Romania’s agriculture have dropped continuously from 2002 to 2005, when they represented only 3.9% from the total net investments of the economy. The agricultural corporal fixed assets ratio from the economy’s total fixed assets was 1.5% in 2005. The arable area for a tractor was 85.2 ha in 2005, with an unfavorable discrepancy for our country in comparison to France (1:2.5), Italy (1:4) and The Netherlands (1:5). For other farm equipments the discrepancy is even higher. The area per tractor is almost double for commercial agricultural holdings than for individual agricultural farms.

The complex of measures used for a better management of the resources also targets the working capital’s elements for the agricultural holdings. The direct and powerful determinism of the natural conditions (climate, the soil’s agro-pedological characteristics, etc) over the agricultural production, especially in the vegetal sector, leads to big consumptions of working capital elements, especially plant health protection substances.

An element which determines in a large measure the yield level per hectare and the results obtained by the agricultural holdings is the level of used fertilizers: the substances used to fight diseases and insects, the quality of the used biological product. Between 1989 and 2005 to use of chemical and natural fertilizers has dropped dramatically. In 2005 the agricultural holdings used with 85.2% fewer fertilizers than in 1989, and the consumption of organic fertilizers dropped with 68.2%. In recent years there was though a rise in the chemical and natural fertilizers consumption. The compatibility of the agricultural holdings’ activity at the Community’s level can be ensured by reducing the costs for plant’s health on the basis of rationalizing treatment schemes, by using fertilizing substances in accordance with the technological recommendations regarding the productivity growth per hectare, by using high productivity (certificates) breeds and hybrids, but also by implementing alternative technologies in the production process.

Perfecting the human capital management, from the quantitative point of view, but especially from the qualitative perspective, will improve the production results and lead to a better use of the natural resources and of the technical-material potential, with positive economic effects at the level of the whole agricultural holding.

The migration’s evolution in the transition period of the Romanian employed population didn’t lead to creating an occupational structure that corresponds to the community’s model, which is orientated towards services and economic diversification. Our country’s agriculture was confronted with a growth of the population employed in this sector, from 27.8% in 1989 to 40.8% in 2000 (the maximum number of the population who worked in agriculture was 3.523.000 persons). Only in recent years there was a downward tendency, in 2006 the ratio of the population employed in agriculture was 29.6% from the total number. The discrepancy from the other EU members is still worrying (10:1). The low incomes earned by the rural population is one of the causes for the low standard of living in rural areas, it is also responsible for the lack of financial possibilities used for improving conditions or for investments, for the youth who is moving to more attractive sectors or is leaving the country. For the 2006-2013 period a reduction with 16% is estimated for the population employed in agriculture.

The decrease in the employed rural population’s rate, a population who is currently working until old ages and practices subsistence as a main form of existence, is necessary and can be put in practice by promoting rural social protection programs.

On the other hand, it’s necessary to attract young labor force in the rural environment by diversifying the economic activities and thus accomplishing a growth of the incomes and the modernization of the rural area. Promoting an adequate incentives system inside agricultural holdings will lead to a better motivation of the employees. The structural work reform in agriculture must also take into account the professional training of the agricultural producers and especially aim at attracting the youth in the rural area, a measure which will lead to a younger active population in the villages once the farmers who are at the retiring age will stop working.

The structural changes in Romanian agriculture initiated by the Rural Capital Law no. 18/1991 try to close in to the community’s agricultural model and in order to do this the starting point for improving the structures of production, of financing-crediting, of management and control must be perfecting the rural structures.

The data from the last General Agricultural Census (2002) indicated that approximately 97% of the agricultural lands are privately owned, 55.4% of the used rural area is exploited by individual households and the rest is managed by big units with legal status. The way the Rural Capital Law was written and applied generated the crumbling of the lands and led to an excessively big number of individual ownserships (over 4.4 million). Even though they exploit about 62% of the country’s plough land, they usually own (49.5% of the total area) lands smaller than 1 hectare which gives them a subsistence status because they produce for their own consumption and
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commercialization conditions, and especially by contracting technically and economically substantiated loans. The self-financing based on a good management of the treasury still remains the main source for financial resources which combined with external resources allow reaching the agricultural holdings’ economic objectives.

Because commercialization is the last phase in the process which includes obtaining, processing and turning profitable the farm produce, it becomes important for one of the objectives formulated in the development strategy of the agricultural holdings to refer to perfecting the selling activities. An important role in identifying the characteristics of the farm produce’s market, the possibility to embrace some market segments, the capitalization channels and the necessary logistics, belongs to the market researchers. The market studies’ objective is to know and to estimate the consumer demand for farm produce and are materialized in marketing programs which are adequate to the conditions and to the real possibilities of the holdings. The marketing mixes include a specific combination for each agricultural holding between product strategies, price strategies, distribution policies and ways to promote production.
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